

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)</i>		Application Number		10647068		
		Filing Date		2003-08-22		
		First Named Inventor		Argenta, et al.		
		Art Unit		3733		
		Examiner Name		PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
		Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1		

U.S.PATENTS						Remove		
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear		
	1							
If you wish to add additional U.S. Patent citation information please click the Add button.						Add		
U.S.PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS						Remove		
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear		
	1							
If you wish to add additional U.S. Published Application citation information please click the Add button.						Add		
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS						Remove		
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ²	Kind Code ⁴	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁵
	1							<input type="checkbox"/>
If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button						Add		
NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS						Remove		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.					T ⁵	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)		Application Number	10647068
		Filing Date	2003-08-22
		First Named Inventor	Argenta, et al.
		Art Unit	3733
		Examiner Name	PHILOGENE, PEDRO
		Attorney Docket Number	0101-P02977US1

	1	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint dated September 08, 2003. (With Exhibits) BS-121	<input type="checkbox"/>
	2	KCI v. BlueSky, Summary of Appendix in Support of Medela AG's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction or, Alternatively, to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim and for Partial Summary Judgment dated October 24, 2003. (With Exhibits) BS-125	<input type="checkbox"/>
	3	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiff's Response to Medela AG's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim, and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, and Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiff's Response to Medela AG's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim, and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, dated November 10, 2003. BS-129 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	4	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs Letter to Honorable Royal Furgeson dated January 3, 2006. (With enclosure) BS-130	<input type="checkbox"/>
	5	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs Reply Brief on Claim Construction, with exhibits, dated April 18, 2005. BS-147	<input type="checkbox"/>
	6	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs' Responsive Claim Construction Brief dated March 28, 2005. (with exhibits) BS-148	<input type="checkbox"/>
	7	KCI v. Bluesky, Declaration of Michael J. Morykwas in support of plaintiffs' responsive claim construction brief dated March 24, 2005 (with exhibits) BS-149	<input type="checkbox"/>
	8	KCI v. Bluesky, Declaration of Wilson C. Hayes in support of plaintiffs' responsive claim construction brief dated March 25, 2005 (with exhibits) BS-150	<input type="checkbox"/>
	9	KCI v. Bluesky, Plaintiffs' brief on claim construction dated March 7, 2005 BS-151	<input type="checkbox"/>
	10	KCI v. Bluesky, Medela AG and Medela, Inc.'s opening memorandum regarding construction of the patent claims dated March 7, 2005 (with exhibits) BS-152	<input type="checkbox"/>
	11	KCI v. Bluesky, BlueSky Medical Group Incorporated opening Markman brief regarding U.S. Patent Nos. 4,969,880 and 5,636,643 (with exhibits) BS-153	<input type="checkbox"/>

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)		Application Number	10647068
		Filing Date	2003-08-22
		First Named Inventor	Argenta, et al.
		Art Unit	3733
		Examiner Name	PHILOGENE, PEDRO
		Attorney Docket Number	0101-P02977US1

	12	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela AG and Medela, Inc.'s Response on Claim Construction, with exhibits, dated March 28, 2005. BS-154	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13	KCI v. BlueSky, BlueSky Medical Group Incorporated's Opposition Markman Brief Regarding U.S. Patent Nos. 4,969,880 and 5,636,643, with exhibits. BS-155	<input type="checkbox"/>
	14	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs First Letter Brief from Markman, with exhibits, hearing dated May 26, 2005. BS-160	<input type="checkbox"/>
	15	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs Second Letter Brief from Markman hearing, with exhibits, dated May 31, 2005. BS-161	<input type="checkbox"/>
	16	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendants Medela AG and Medela, Inc.'s Motion for Leave to Amend Designation of Expert Witnesses, with exhibits, dated May 18, 2005. BS-162	<input type="checkbox"/>
	17	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela, Inc. and Medela AG's Reply in Support of Motion for Leave to File Amended Answers to Third Amended Complaint, Additional Defenses, Second Amended Counterclaims and Jury Demands, with exhibits, dated June 1, 2005. BS-168	<input type="checkbox"/>
	18	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint, with exhibits, dated June 30, 2005. BS-173	<input type="checkbox"/>
	19	KCI v. Bluesky, KCI's supplemental answers to Bluesky Medical Corporation's first set of interrogatories dated July 6, 2005. BS-174	<input type="checkbox"/>
	20	KCI v. Bluesky, KCI's supplemental answers to Medela, Inc.'s first set of interrogatories dated July 6, 2005. BS-175	<input type="checkbox"/>
	21	KCI v. Bluesky, WFU Health Sciences' supplemental answers to Medela, Inc.'s first set of interrogatories dated July 6, 2005. BS-176	<input type="checkbox"/>
	22	KCI v. Bluesky, Amended answers to third amended complaint, additional defenses, second amended counterclaims and jury demand of Medela, Inc., dated April 29, 2005 BS-177	<input type="checkbox"/>

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)		Application Number	10647068
		Filing Date	2003-08-22
		First Named Inventor	Argenta, et al.
		Art Unit	3733
		Examiner Name	PHILOGENE, PEDRO
		Attorney Docket Number	0101-P02977US1

	23	KCI v. Bluesky, Answer to third amended complaint, additional defenses, amended counterclaims and jury demand of defendant Medela AG dated February 11, 2005 BS-178	<input type="checkbox"/>
	24	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs' Preliminary Proposed Constructions of Newly Asserted Claims From the '643 and '081 Patents dated September 12, 2005. (With Exhib) BS-181	<input type="checkbox"/>
	25	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela, Inc., and Medela AG's Motion for entry of Amended Protective Order with Exhibits dated October 7, 2005. BS-186	<input type="checkbox"/>
	26	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendant Medela AG's Answers to Plaintiffs' Second Interrogatories dated October 7, 2005. BS-187	<input type="checkbox"/>
	27	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela AG's Affidavit of Mitchell D. Lukin Pursuant to Local Rule CV-33(a) dated October 7, 2005. BS-188	<input type="checkbox"/>
	28	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendant Medela AG's Response to Plaintiffs' Second Request for Production of Documents dated October 7, 2005. BS-189	<input type="checkbox"/>
	29	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendant Medela AG's Response to Plaintiffs' Third Request for Production of Documents dated October 7, 2005. BS-190	<input type="checkbox"/>
	30	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendant Medela, Inc.'s Response to Plaintiffs' Second Request for Production of Documents dated October 7, 2005. BS-191	<input type="checkbox"/>
	31	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs' Opening Brief on Claim Construction of Disputed Claim Terms From the '643 and '081 Patents with Exhibits dated October 6, 2005. BS-192	<input type="checkbox"/>
	32	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela, Inc.'s Second Set of Interrogatories To Wake Forest University Health Sciences dated October 7, 2005. BS-193	<input type="checkbox"/>
	33	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela, Inc.'s Eighth Set of Requests For Production To Plaintiffs Kinetic Concepts, Inc., KCI Licensing, Inc., KCI USA, Inc., and Wake Forest University Health Sciences dated October 7, 2005. BS-194	<input type="checkbox"/>

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number	10647068
	Filing Date	2003-08-22
	First Named Inventor	Argenta, et al.
	Art Unit	3733
	Examiner Name	PHILOGENE, PEDRO
	Attorney Docket Number	0101-P02977US1

34	KCI v. BlueSky, Order Grating Joint Motion to Extend Deadlines dated September 30, 2005. BS-195	<input type="checkbox"/>
----	---	--------------------------

If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ³ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁴ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number		10647068
	Filing Date		2003-08-22
	First Named Inventor		Argenta, et al.
	Art Unit		3733
	Examiner Name		PHILOGENE, PEDRO
	Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):

That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication
 from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).

OR

That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to
 any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).

- See attached certification statement.
 Fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.
 None

SIGNATURE

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

Signature	/Niels Haun/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2007-04-19
Name/Print	Niels Haun	Registration Number	48,488

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.